Colorado Cancer Coalition

SCORING RUBRIC:
2020 Colorado Cancer Plan Implementation Grants

Project Title:

APPLICATION COMPLETION AND TIMELINESS (5 POINTS)		
Project is submitted on time but is not complete.	Project is submitted on time and is complete, including all required documents: ☐All documents are complete. ☐ Application is combined into one PDF. ☐ Budget is accurately recorded and calculated.	
(0-4)	(5)	
Comments:		Score:

OVERALL PROJECT (25 POINTS)			
Project poorly constructed. Little evidence of potential and/or community support.	Project somewhat described but without enough detail to gauge impact or goals.	Project described well. Goals and objectives could be improved. Good community collaboration.	Project has clear goals, objectives, and evaluation plan. Need for the project is well described. Collaborators' roles are clear and appropriate. High potential for success.
(0-5)	(6-12)	(13-19)	(20-25)
Comments:			Score:

PROJECT COLLABORATORS (5 POINTS)			
Appropriate collaborators are not involved or no partners are identified.	Some appropriate collaborators appear to be missing.	The collaborators identified are adequate and their roles are clearly stated.	The collaborators identified are fitting and will strengthen the project. A letter of support is provided that clearly indicates the partners' role.
(0)	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Comments:			Score:

CLARITY OF PROJECT OBJECTIVES (5 POINTS)			
Project objectives are unclear or inappropriate. Objectives not offered or not SMART.	Project objectives are clear. Questionable objectives are offered that are weak or lack specificity or measures.	Project objectives are well framed and adequate. Objectives are adequate to the task but could be strengthened.	Project objectives are well crafted and clear. Objectives are SMART and written in alignment with successfully accomplishing goals.
(0)	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Comments:			Score:

PROJECT PLAN (5 POINTS)			
The project plan is incomplete.	Proposed steps are not clear. Person(s) responsible for completing tasks may not be appropriate, or time for completing steps is unreasonable. Project will likely not accomplish goal.	Proposed steps are appropriate but could be improved. Person(s) responsible for completing steps may be appropriate. Time for completing steps seen as adequate. Project may accomplish goals.	Proposed steps are clear and well thought out. Person(s) responsible for completing steps are well suited for the effort. Project is very likely to accomplish goals.
(0)	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Comments:			Score:

PROJECT EVALUATION (10 POINTS)

Evaluation measures and methods are missing or not relevant to the success of the project. Evaluation plan incomplete.	Evaluation measures and methods are offered but could be enhanced or improved. Data source or methods are unclear. Evaluation plan not well defined.	Evaluation measures and methods are adequate as offered. Data sources and collection methods may show success of project.	Evaluation measures and methods are clear. Success of the project would be clear. Data sources are appropriate and collection methods are strong.
(0-2)	(3-5)	(6-8)	(9-10)
Comments:			Score:

PROJECT IMPACT (15 POINTS)

Project not likely to reach the target population and does not work toward a policy, systems or environmental change.	Project will make little impact in targeted community and/or policy, systems, and environmental change plans are not included/likely.	Project will likely reach target population. Policy, systems and environmental change are well defined and possible.	Project will reach target population and create policy, systems and environmental change during implementation or based on outcomes.
(0-3)	(4-7)	(8-11)	(12-15)
Comments:			Score:

PROJECT SUSTAINABILITY AND DISSEMINATION (5 POINTS)

No plans for sustainability or dissemination.	Plans for sustainability and dissemination poorly constructed and not feasible.	Plans for sustainability and dissemination are appropriate to the plan and timeline.	Plans for sustainability and dissemination are well crafted. Project is likely to continue following funding period.
(0)	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Comments:			Score:

LINK TO COLORADO CANCER PLAN: PROJECT OBJECTIVES (10 POINTS)

Project objectives are not related to the priorities, goals, or actions of the Cancer Plan.	Project objectives are related to the priorities of the Cancer Plan, but there is not a clear connection to goals or actions.	Project objectives are clearly related to the priorities and goals of the Cancer Plan.	Project objectives align with the priorities and goals of the Cancer Plan and a specific action(s) is addressed within the project application.
(0-2)	(3-5)	(6-8)	(9-10)
Comments:			Score:

PROJECT BUDGET (5 POINTS)

Budget is very poorly constructed and disconnected from project.	Budget marginally constructed and appropriately connected to the project with some exceptions. Some expenses are questionable.	Budget aligns with scope of work. Expenses are appropriate to support project.	Budget is well crafted and appropriate for project completion with in-kind or financial support from collaborators.
(0)	(1-2)	(3-4)	(5)
Comments:			Score:

ADDRESSING HEALTH ACCESS^^ AND EQUITY^ (10 POINTS)

Project does not work toward health equity.	Plans to impact health equity are not included/likely.	Plans to impact health equity are well defined and possible.	Project will impact health equity during implementation or based on outcomes.
(0-2)	(3-5)	(6-8)	(9-10)
Comments:			Score:

OVERALL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Total Score: **____ /100**

Policy, Systems and Environmental (PSE) Change** – Systematic interventions that can produce long-term and sustainable improvements in population health. ***(Policy, Systems, and Environmental Change Resource Guide)
More resources can be found here: **http://action4psechange.org/**
****Community-Clinical Linkages** – Connections between community and clinical sectors to improve population health.. ***(Community-Clinical Linkages for the Prevention and Control of Chronic Disease)***
^Health Equity – The attainment of the highest level of health for all people. Achieving health equity requires valuing everyone equally with focused and ongoing societal efforts to address avoidable inequalities, historical and contemporary injustices, and the elimination of health and health care disparities. ***(Healthy People 2020)***
Health Disparities** – A particular type of health difference that is closely linked with social, economic, and/or environmental disadvantage. Health disparities adversely affect groups of people who have systematically experienced greater obstacles to health based on their racial or ethnic group; religion; socioeconomic status; gender; age; mental health; cognitive, sensory or physical disability; sexual orientation or gender identity; geographic location; or other characteristics historically linked to discrimination or exclusion. ***(Healthy People 2020)
^^Access – Access to health services means "the timely use of personal health services to achieve the best health outcomes." It requires 3 distinct steps: gaining entry into the healthcare system (usually through insurance coverage), accessing a location where needed health care services are provided (geographic availability), and finding a health care provider whom the patient trusts and can communicate with (personal relationship). ***(Healthy People 2020)***